



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/800,646	03/06/2001	Ranjan Prasad	50325-0508	3341
29989 7	7590 01/26/2005		EXAM	INER
HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP			AGDEPPA, HECTOR A	
2055 GATEW	AY PLACE			
SUITE 550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, C	A 95110		2642	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/26/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/800,646	PRASAD ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hector A. Agdeppa	2642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 12 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of the co	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION: See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension			
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ce later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b).	ing date of the final rejection, even if			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11,13-22</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
10. Other:	(ο)(1 10 1770) 1 apel 110(5)	 ·			
Julei					
		- · · · - ·			
		Patent Examiner Hector Agdeppa 703-305-1844			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amended claims 1, 2, 3, 9, and 16 - 22 change the scope of the claimed invention by now reciting that the authorization service is separate from the authentication server, mapping role information to privileges, and adding to the steps in the method of determining if an administrator has sufficient privileges...

Mhmad Mistal